1 Ricardo Merluza, Esq., SBN 199945 BERMEO & MERLÚZA 3435 Wilshire Blvd., 27th floor Los Angeles, CA 90010 3 Telephone: (213) 380-9888 Facsimile: (213) 380-5397 JUL 3 つ 2012 Email: rymlaw@yahoo.com John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk 5 Claudia C. Bohorquez, SBN 150647 LAW OFFICES OF CLAUDIA C. BOHOROUEZ 5757 Wilshire Blvd., PH3 Los Angeles, CA 90036 Telephone: (323) 964-8125 Facsimile: (323) 964-5270 8 Email: ccblawyer@gmail.com ATTORNEYS FOR PLAINTIFFS: ROXANNA G. SEVILLA, MARIA G. OROZCO 10 AND ROSA ISELA GONZALEZ 11 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 12 COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES - CENTRAL 13 14 ROXANNA G. SEVILLA, MARIA G. OROZCO,) Case No. ROSA ISELA GONZALEZ, individually, and on 15 behalf of all others similarly situated, 16 Plaintiffs, COMPLAINT FOR GENDE DISCRIMINATION HARASSMI 17 FAILURE TO PREVENT HARASSMENT: INTENTION INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL 18 JON DAVLER, INC., a California corporation; CHRISTINA YANG, an individual; and DOES 1 DISTRESS; INVASION OF 19 through 25, inclusive: PRIVACY; FALSE **IMPRISONMENT** 20 Defendants. 21 DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL 22 23 Plaintiffs, ROXANNA G. SEVILLA, MARIA G. OROZCO, and ROSA ISE GONZALEZ, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated, brings like sections 24 25 against defendants JON DAVLER, INC. and CHRISTINA YANG, and allege, on information and belief, except as to those allegations relating to plaintiffs themselves which are asserted off personal knowledge, as follows: LEA/TIEF#: 26 27

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

- 1. This action is brought as a class action under the provisions of C.C.P. §382.
- 2. Plaintiff, ROXANNA G. SEVILLA ("SEVILLA"), is an individual who resides, and at all times herein mentioned, resided in the county of Los Angeles, California.
- 3. Plaintiff, MARIA G. OROZCO ("OROZCO"), is an individual who resides, and at all times herein mentioned, resided in the county of Los Angeles, California.
- 4. Plaintiff, ROSA ISELA GONZALEZ ("GONZALEZ"), is an individual who resides, and at all times herein mentioned, resided in the County of Los Angeles, California.
- 5. Defendant, JON DAVLER, INC. ("JON DAVLER") is a corporation organized under the laws of the State of California with its principal place of business in the County of Los Angeles, California.
- 6. Defendant, CHRISTINA YANG ("YANG"), is an individual who resides, and at all times herein mentioned resided, in the county of Los Angeles, California.
- 7. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, partner, associate, employee, or otherwise, of DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, are unknown to plaintiffs, who therefore sue the DOE defendants by fictitious names. Plaintiffs will amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when they have been ascertained. Plaintiffs are informed and believe that each fictitiously named defendant is responsible in law and in fact for the obligations alleged herein.
- 8. At all times herein mentioned, each of the defendants was an authorized agent, employee, joint venturer, partner, co-conspirator, servant, master, or employer of each other, and at all relevant times acted within the scope and course of said agency, employment or other relationship; was aware of, approved of, and ratified the acts of the other defendants; and committed tortious acts in concert with the other defendants.
- 9. This court has jurisdiction over this action pursuant to C.C.P. §410.10. On behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, plaintiffs seek damages in excess of the jurisdictional minimum of this court.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Plaintiffs bring this action on their own behalf and on behalf of all persons similarly situated. Such a representative action is necessary to prevent and remedy the unlawful practices alleged herein.
- 11. This action is brought and may be properly maintained as a class action pursuant to the provisions of C.C.P. §382. Plaintiffs bring this action on behalf of themselves and all members of the class, defined as follows: all female workers who were present at the JON DAVLER facility on or about September 6, 2011, and were subjected to the vaginal inspection set forth in detail below. Excluded from the proposed class are defendants, any entities in which any of the defendants has a controlling interest, and the officers, directors, affiliates, attorneys, heirs, predecessors, and successors in interest, subsidiaries, and/or assigns of any of the defendants.
- 12. The members of the class are so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable. While the exact number of class members is unknown to plaintiffs at this time and can only be ascertained through discovery, plaintiffs believe there are at least 15 members of the proposed class.
- 13. There is a well-defined community of interest among the members of the proposed class. Plaintiffs, like all other members of the class, suffered a humiliating and degrading inspection of their vaginal area after YANG became angry that someone had left a used female sanitary napkin in the toilet area, and sought to determine who was on their menstrual period that day. She ordered plaintiffs and the other members of the class to pull down their pants and underwear so that a co-worker could see who was on their period. The factual basis of defendants' misconduct are common to all members of the class and represent a common act of wrongful conduct resulting in damages to all members of the class.
- 14. There are numerous questions of law and fact common to plaintiffs and to the members of the class and those questions predominate over any questions that may affect individual members of the class.

(a) The common questions of fact include the following:

- whether defendants ordered plaintiffs and all members of the class to subject themselves to a vaginal inspection by a co-worker by requiring each woman to pull down her pants and underwear, on or about September 6, 2011, to determine who was on their menstrual period;
- whether defendants' actions were severe enough to rise to the level of gender related sexual harassment that is so outrageous as to shock the conscience of a reasonable woman;
- whether JON DAVLER failed to take steps to prevent the sexual harassment from occurring;
- -whether defendants invaded the privacy of plaintiffs and the class members by their actions:
- whether defendants falsely imprisoned plaintiffs and the class members when they ordered each of them one by one into a bathroom for an inspection of their vaginal area while YANG and another male supervisor stood outside the door of the bathroom;
- whether plaintiffs and other members of the class were forced to undergo the inspection or suffer the consequence of termination;
- whether defendants' actions were intentional or conducted with a reckless disregard of the probability of causing plaintiffs and the other class members to suffer emotional distress;
- whether plaintiffs and the other class members suffered severe emotional distress as a result of the actions of defendants.
- whether JON DAVLER failed to provide any adequate education, training, and information to personnel concerning policies and practices regarding sexual harassment and discrimination;

b. The common questions of law include the following:

- whether defendants violated Article 1, Section 1, of the California Constitution regarding invasion of privacy;
- whether plaintiffs and other members of the class had a reasonable expectation of privacy in their bodily functions and body;

- whether defendants' actions were severe and outrageous enough to constitute gender/sexual harassment in violation of California's Fair Employment and Housing Act:
- whether defendants' actions resulted in a false imprisonment of plaintiffs and the other class members;
- whether JON DAVLER failed to take reasonable steps to prevent sexual/gender harassment from occurring;
 - whether JON DAVLER had an adequate sexual harassment policy;
- whether defendants intentionally deprived the plaintiffs and other members of the class of their freedom of movement by use of physical barriers, force, menace, fraud, deceit, and unreasonable duress.
- whether plaintiffs and other members of the class voluntarily consented to the actions of defendants;
- whether the actions of defendants were serious enough to constitute an invasion of privacy;
- whether plaintiffs and the other members of the class are entitled to compensatory damages;
 - whether plaintiffs and the other members of the class are entitled to punitive damages.
- 15. Plaintiffs' claims are typical of the claims of the other members of the class.

 Plaintiffs and all the members of the class have sustained economic damage arising out of the common course of conduct as alleged herein.
- 16. Plaintiffs will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the class. They have retained counsel with substantial experience in prosecuting class actions. Plaintiffs and their counsel are committed to vigorously prosecuting this action on behalf of the class and have the financial resources necessary to do so. Neither plaintiffs nor their counsel have any interest adverse to those of the class.
- 17. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since individual joinder of all members of the class is impracticable. Further, as the damages suffered by each individual member of the class may be

relatively small, the expense and burden of individual litigation would make it difficult or impossible for individual members of the class to redress the wrongs done to them. The cost to the court system of such individual adjudication would be substantial. Individualized litigation would also present the potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments and would magnify the delay and expense to all parties and the court system in multiple trials of identical factual issues. By contrast, the conduct of this action as a class action presents fewer management difficulties, conserves the resources of the parties and the court system and protects the rights of each class member.

18. An award of attorney's fees is authorized by C.C.P. §1021.5 and §Gov't. Code 12940 et seq.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 19. JON DAVLER is a company which manufactures, fills, packages, and labels cosmetic products. The company employs both men and women.
- 20. Plaintiff SEVILLA was an employee of JON DAVLER from approximately 1/5/2010 to 1/13/2012.
- 21. Plaintiff OROZCO was an employee of JON DAVLER from approximately 5/14/2010 to 4/13/2012.
- 22. Plaintiff GONZALEZ was an employee of JON DAVLER from approximately 9/2009 to 9/16/2011.
- 23. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiffs and the members of the class worked in the Production Department and/or the Bulk Department of JON DAVLER.
- 24. At all times herein mentioned, defendant YANG was an owner, shareholder, officer, director, supervisor, manager and/or employee of JON DAVLER.
- 25. On or about the morning of September 6, 2011, defendant YANG became extremely angry because she found a used sanitary napkin around the toilet area in the women's bathroom and blood around the toilet seat at the JON DAVLER facility. YANG was so upset that she went to Production Department where plaintiffs and the members of the class were working, and started yelling that they were "dirty" and demanded to know who was on their menstrual period

so that she could determine who had left the used sanitary napkin by the toilet and the toilet unclean. She told one of the workers, Lucy Castillo, to go clean up the mess in the bathroom. Meanwhile, in an angry, shouting voice, YANG demanded to know of the plaintiffs and the other members of the class, "Are you on your period!" Each of the plaintiffs and the other members of the class denied being on their menstrual period. YANG was not satisfied with the responses she received, and instructed Ms. Castillo to take each of the plaintiffs and the other members of the class into the bathroom, one by one, and check their panties to see who was on their menstrual period, by requiring each to pull down their pants and underwear for an inspection.

26. Plaintiffs and the members of the class were shocked and in a state of disbelief at what they were hearing YANG instruct Ms. Castillo to do. In front of all of the other women, one member of the class asked YANG what would happen if she refused to participate in the inspection. YANG said whoever refused would be fired. YANG ordered each of the plaintiffs and the other members of the class to form a line outside of the women's bathroom and to go in one by one to be checked by Ms. Castillo. YANG and a male supervisor named "Dennis" stood outside the bathroom door ensuring that each woman went in for the inspection and waiting for each woman to come out after their inspection.

27. When plaintiffs and the members of the class went into the bathroom, one by one, they were in shock and disbelief and extremely embarrassed to have to undergo an inspection of their vaginal area to determine if they were on their menstrual period. Ms. Castillo stood a foot or two away from plaintiffs and the members of the class at the time of each of their inspections. Plaintiffs and the members of the class had to pull down their pants and their panties, exposing their vaginal area, so that Ms. Castillo could see if they were wearing a sanitary napkin and therefore on their period. After each inspection, plaintiffs and the members of the class went outside of the bathroom where YANG and another male supervisor "Dennis" were standing outside the door. They found it extremely difficult and embarrassing to face YANG and especially Dennis because he was a man, and quickly went back to their work area while the inspections continued with the other members of the class.

- 28. At the time of her inspection, plaintiff GONZALEZ asked Ms. Castillo if she had to do this, and Ms. Castillo responded that she had to do it. At the time of plaintiff OROZCO's inspection, Ms. Castillo told her that she was very sorry she had to do this and that she felt extremely embarrassed. OROZCO responded that she was extremely embarrassed, too. At the time of SEVILLA's inspection, Ms. Castillo told her she felt very bad about doing it, but she did not want to lose her job.
- 29. On the day of the women's inspections, SEVILLA told her brother-in-law Jose Reyes who was a co-worker at JON DAVLER about what had happened. SEVILLA also told her mother and boyfriend when she got home from work later that day. She felt humiliated, degraded, embarrassed, and devalued as a human being. She wrote her feelings in a journal. OROZCO told her sister after work what had happened also. GONZALEZ told her husband and daughter after work what had happened.
- 30. The area where the workers at JON DAVLER sit down for lunch is located in front of the bathroom where the women's inspections occurred. A male co-worker told OROZCO he was eating his lunch at the time of the women's inspections and he had to leave without finishing his food because he was disgusted by the references to dirty sanitary napkins and blood as YANG screamed at the ladies and as the women spoke among themselves about the incident.
- 31. All of the plaintiffs and the other members of the class feared they would lose their jobs if they did not permit this inspection.
- 32. Ms. Castillo did not go to work the next day because she was overcome by embarrassment, felt bad about having to inspect the women the day before, and did not want to face the plaintiffs and the other members of the class.
- 33. Plaintiffs exhausted their administrative remedies by timely filing a complaint with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing ("DFEH") against each defendant.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION FOR SEXUAL HARASSMENT FEHA CAL. GOV'T. CODE 12940 et seq.

(Against all Defendants and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive)

- 34. Plaintiffs incorporate all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 33 as though set forth here in full.
 - 35. Plaintiffs and the members of the class were employees of JON DAVLER.
- 36. Defendants' actions described above subjected plaintiffs and the members of the class to sexual harassment and discrimination based on their gender, at their workplace, causing a hostile or abusive work environment.
- 37. Defendants' conduct described above was unwelcome and directed towards the plaintiffs and the members of the class, and interfered with their work environment and job performance.
- 38. The conduct of defendants created a work environment that was intimidating, hostile, abusive, or offensive; and caused plaintiffs to perceive their work environment as intimidating, hostile, abusive, or offensive. The conduct of defendants was so severe that a reasonable woman in plaintiffs' and the members of the class' circumstances would have considered the work environment to be hostile or abusive. Plaintiffs feared YANG, were intimidated by YANG, and feared that another similar female sanitary napkin incident could happen again and that they would be subjected to the same humiliating inspection again.
- 39. Defendant YANG is an owner, manager, and/or supervisor of JON DAVLER who engaged in the above-described conduct and had actual authority over plaintiffs and the members of the class who were subjected to the wrongful vaginal inspection.
- 40. JON DAVLER and its supervisors or agents knew or should have known of the conduct and failed to take immediate and appropriate corrective action and is liable on the basis of respondent superior.
- 41. Complaints to the owners and supervisors of JON DAVLER (YANG, and "Dennis") would have been futile because they, themselves, ordered the outrageous inspection of the plaintiffs' and members of the class' vaginal area, and waited outside the restroom while the

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

inspections were being conducted by Ms. Castillo

- 42. Defendants' acts were malicious, oppressive, or fraudulent with the intent to vex. injure, annoy, humiliate and embarrass plaintiffs and the members of the class, and in conscious disregard of the rights or safety of plaintiffs and the members of the class, and in furtherance of defendants' ratification of the wrongful conduct of the employees, owners, and supervisors of JON DAVLER.
- 43. By reason of the conduct of defendants and each of them as alleged herein, plaintiffs have necessarily retained attorneys to prosecute the within action. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and litigation expenses, including expert witness fees and costs incurred in bringing this action.
- 44. As a result of defendants' and each of their actions, plaintiffs and the members of the class sustained economic damages to be proven at trial. As a further result of defendants' and each of their actions, plaintiffs and the members of the class suffered, and continue to suffer, humiliation, grief, nervousness, fright, shame, embarrassment, mental anguish, anger, worry, disappointment, insomnia, and emotional and physical distress, and have been injured in mind and body, all to their damage in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 45. The above-described harassing conduct violates Cal. Gov.'t Code §§12940 et seq. and California public policy and entitles plaintiffs and the members of the class to all categories of damages, including exemplary or punitive damages.
- 46. Plaintiffs filed timely complaints against all of the defendants to the DFEH alleging sexual harassment and failure to prevent sexual harassment. Plaintiffs received from the DFEH notification of their right to sue the defendants.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FAILURE TO PREVENT SEXUAL HARASSMENT FEHA CAL. GOV'T. CODE 12940(j) and (k)

(Against JON DAVLER and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive)

- 47. Plaintiffs incorporate all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 33 as though set forth here in full.
 - 48. In violation of Cal. Gov't. Code §12940(k), JON DAVLER failed to take all

- 10 -

reasonable steps necessary to prevent discrimination and sexual harassment by its shareholders, owners, officers, directors, managers, supervisors and employees from occurring. Defendants and each of them committed unlawful employment practices.

- 49. JON DAVLER failed to make an adequate response and investigation into the conduct of YANG and "Dennis," and thereby established a policy, custom, practice, or usage within the organization which condoned, encouraged, tolerated, sanctioned, ratified, approved of, and/or acquiesced in sexual and gender discrimination and harassment towards plaintiffs and the members of the class.
- 50. JON DAVLER did not have an adequate sexual harassment policy and did not provide adequate sexual harassment training with respect to its employees, managers, supervisors.
- 51. JON DAVLER knew or reasonably should have known that the failure to provide adequate education, training, and information as to their personnel policies and practices regarding sexual harassment and gender discrimination, would result in sexual harassment and discrimination against its employees including plaintiffs and the members of the class.
- 52. The failure of JON DAVLER to provide any adequate education, training, and information to personnel concerning policies and practices regarding sexual harassment and gender discrimination constituted deliberate indifference to the rights of employees including plaintiffs and the members of the class under Cal. Gov't. Code §12940 et seq.
- 53. Defendant's acts were malicious, oppressive, or fraudulent with the intent to vex, injure, annoy, humiliate and embarrass plaintiffs and the members of the class, and in conscious disregard of the rights or safety of plaintiffs and the members of the class, and in furtherance of defendant's ratification of the wrongful conduct of the employees, owners, and supervisors of defendant.
- 54. By reason of the conduct of JON DAVLER as alleged herein, plaintiffs have necessarily retained attorneys to prosecute the within action. Plaintiffs are therefore entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and litigation expenses, including expert witness fees and costs incurred in bringing this action.

55. As a result of defendants' and each of their actions, plaintiffs and the members of the class sustained economic damages to be proven at trial. As a further result of defendants' and each of their actions, plaintiffs and the members of the class suffered, and continue to suffer, humiliation, grief, nervousness, fright, shame, embarrassment, mental anguish, anger, worry, disappointment, insomnia, and emotional and physical distress, and have been injured in mind and body, all to their damage in an amount to be proven at trial.

56. The above-described harassing conduct violates Cal. Gov.'t Code §§12940 et seq. and California public policy and entitles plaintiffs and the members of the class to all categories of damages, including exemplary or punitive damages.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INVASION OF PRIVACY IN VIOLATION OF ARTICLE 1, SECTION 1 OF CALIFORNIA CONSTITUTION

(Against all DEFENDANTS and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive)

- 57. Plaintiffs incorporate all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 33 as though set forth here in full.
- 58. At all times herein mentioned, plaintiffs and members of the class had a reasonable expectation of privacy in intimate personal decisions or conducting personal activities without observation, intrusion or interference including their bodily functions such as their menstrual cycle, their body, and their personal hygiene, under the circumstances.
- 59. Defendants invaded plaintiffs' and the members of the class' privacy in intimate personal matters, and in the conduct of their personal activities without observation, intrusion or interference including their personal bodily functions such as their menstrual cycle, their body, and their personal hygiene.
- 60. Defendants' conduct was a serious invasion of plaintiffs' and the members of the class' privacy.
- 61. Defendants' conduct was a substantial factor in causing plaintiffs and the other members of the class harm. As a result of defendants' and each of their actions, plaintiffs and the members of the class sustained economic damages to be proven at trial. As a further result

of defendants' and each of their actions, plaintiffs and the members of the class suffered, and continue to suffer, humiliation, grief, nervousness, fright, shame, embarrassment, mental anguish, anger, worry, disappointment, insomnia, and emotional and physical distress, and have been injured in mind and body, all to their damage in an amount to be proven at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR INTENTIONAL INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL DISTRESS

(Against all DEFENDANTS and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive)

- 62. Plaintiffs incorporate all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 33 as though set forth here in full.
- 63. Defendants' conduct of ordering plaintiffs and the members of the class to pull down their pants and underwear to suffer a humiliating inspection of their vaginal area to see who was on their menstrual period was extreme and outrageous, and done with the intention of causing, or reckless disregard of the probability of causing, emotional distress to plaintiffs and the members of the class. Defendants' conduct exceeded the bounds of behavior of civilized society.
- 64. Plaintiffs and the members of the class suffered severe or extreme emotional distress as a result of defendants' outrageous conduct.
- 65. The conduct of defendants, and each of them, was intentional and malicious insofar as their actions were taken with knowledge that plaintiffs and the members of the class would suffer emotional and physical distress as a result of their conduct, but nevertheless undertook such conduct with a wanton and reckless disregard of the consequences to plaintiffs and the members of the class.
- 66. As a proximate result of the conduct alleged herein, plaintiffs and the members of the class suffered, and continue to suffer, humiliation, grief, nervousness, fright, shame, embarrassment, mental anguish, anger, worry, disappointment, insomnia, and emotional and physical distress, and have been injured in mind and body, all to their damage in an amount presently unknown. Plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to set forth the full amount of damages when ascertained.

- 67. As a further proximate result of the conduct alleged herein, plaintiffs and the members of the class have incurred and will in the future incur, sundry expenses in the examination, care and treatment of their physical and/or emotional injuries, the exact nature and extent of which are presently unknown to them. Plaintiffs will seek leave of court to amend this Complaint to set forth the full amount of damages sustained when ascertained.
- 68. The acts of defendants, and each of them, were wilful, wanton, malicious and oppressive, and justify the awarding of exemplary and punitive damages.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION FOR FALSE IMPRISONMENT (Against all DEFENDANTS and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive)

- 69. Plaintiffs incorporate all of the allegations contained in paragraphs 1 through 33 as though set forth here in full.
- 70. Plaintiffs and the members of the class were wrongfully detained and confined by defendants in the bathroom for the purpose of conducting a humiliating and wrongful inspection of their vaginal area to determine if they were on their menstrual period.
- 71. Defendants intentionally deprived the plaintiffs and the members of the class of their freedom of movement by use of physical barriers, force, menace, fraud, deceit, and/or unreasonable duress. Defendants threatened to fire them and stood at the door with another male supervisor (Dennis) outside the door of the bathroom ensuring the plaintiffs and the members of the class went inside the bathroom for their inspection.
- 72. Plaintiffs and the members of the class did not voluntarily consent to the actions of defendants.
- 73. Defendants' conduct was a substantial factor in causing plaintiffs' and the members of the class' harm. As a result of defendants' actions, plaintiffs and members of the class suffered harm including emotional suffering, shock, humiliation, embarrassment, loss of time, business interruption, physical discomfort or inconvenience, physical injury, and are entitled to damages including general and punitive damages.

WHEREFORE PLAINTIFFS, ON BEHALF OF THEMSELVES, AND ALL
OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED, PRAY for judgment against defendants, and each of them as follows:

- 1. For certification of this action as a plaintiff class action as set forth hereinabove;
- 2. For an award of compensatory damages in an amount according to proof at trial;
- 3. For punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter, punish, and make an example of defendants;
 - 4. For prejudgment, postjudgment and other interest as provided by law;
 - 5. For attorney's fees as provided by law;
 - 6. For costs of suit incurred herein;
 - 7. For such other relief as the Court deems just and fair.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs hereby demand a trial by jury against all defendants.

Dated: July 26, 2012

BERMEO & MERLUZA

Ricardo Y. Merhiza, Esq. Attorney for Plaintiffs

LAW OFFICES OF CLAUDIA C. BOHORQUEZ

Claudia C. Bohorquez, Esq.

Attorney for Plaintiffs

		CM-010
ATTORNEY OR PARTY WITHOUT ATTORNEY (Name, State Ba- Claudia C. Bohorquez, Esq., SBN 150647 BERMEO & MERLUZA	number, and address): Ricardo Mertuza SRN 19984 1	FOR COURT USE ONLY
BERMEO & MERLUZA	ORIGI	NAL
1 3435 Wilshire Biva., 2/th fir.		
Los Angeles, CA 90010	212 280 5207	Los Angeles Superior Court
TELEPHONE NO.: 213 380 9888 ATTORNEY FOR (Name): Plaintiffs, Sevilla, O	FAX NO.: 213 380 5397	1
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF L		
STREET ADDRESS: 111 N. Hill Street	OS ANGELES	JUL 3 0 2012
MAILING ADDRESS:		1
CITY AND ZIP CODE: Los Angeles, CA 90	012	John A. Clarke, Executive Officer/Clerk
BRANCH NAME: Central	V X.	fly (L) Deputy
CASE NAME:	 	SHAUNYA-WESLEY
SEVILLA et al. v. JON DAVLER,	INC. et al.	
CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET		CASE NUMBER: B C 4 8 9 2 5 9
	Complex Case Designation	B(40)23/
1 	Counter Joinder	
(Amount (Amount demanded is	Filed with first appearance by defen	dant Judge:
exceeds \$25,000) \$25,000 or less)		
	Now must be completed (see instructions	
1. Check one box below for the case type th		*** F * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
Auto Tort	Contract	Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation
Auto (22)	Breach of contract/warranty (06)	(Cal. Rules of Court, rules 3.400-3.403)
Uninsured motorist (48)	Rule 3,740 collections (09)	Antitrust/Trade regulation (03)
Other PI/PDWD (Personal Injury/Property	Other collections (09)	Construction defect (10)
Damage/Wrongful Death) Tort	Insurance coverage (18)	Mass tori (40)
Asbestos (04)	Other contract (37)	Securities litigation (28)
Product Rability (24)	Real Property	Environmental/Toxic tort (30)
Medical malpractice (45)	Eminent domain/Inverse	
Other Pt/PD/WD (23)	condemnation (14)	Insurance coverage claims arising from the above listed provisionally complex case
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort	Wrongful eviction (33)	types (41)
Business tort/unfair business practice (0	Other real property (26)	Enforcement of Judgment
Civil rights (08)	Unlawful Detainer	Enforcement of judgment (20)
Defamation (13)	Commercial (31)	Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
Fraud (16)	Residential (32)	RICO (27)
	Drugs (38)	Other complaint (not specified above) (42)
Intellectual property (19) Professional negligence (25)	Judicial Review	
Other non-PI/PD/WD tort (35)	Asset forfeiture (05)	Miscellaneous Civil Patition
1	Petition re: arbitration award (11)	Partnership and corporate governance (21)
Employment Wrongful termination (36)	Writ of mandate (02)	Other petition (not specified above) (43)
Other employment (15)	Other judicial review (39)	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	when of Court If the core is complex made the
 This case is is is not cor factors requiring exceptional judicial man 		ules of Court. If the case is complex, mark the
		er of witnesses
a. Large number of separately repr	· — ·	•
b Extensive motion practice raising		with related actions pending in one or more courts
issues that will be time-consumit		itles, states, or countries, or in a federal court
c. Substantial amount of document	ary evidence f. LI Substantial p	ostjudgment judicial supervision
3. Remedies sought (check all that apply):	a. / monetary b. nonmonetary:	dectaratory or injunctive relief c. vpunitive
4. Number of causes of action (specify): -5	, 	
	ass action suit.	
	and serve a notice of related case. (You	may 1100 from CM-015 1/2
	and serve a notice of related case. (700	may use romi cio-o ra.
Date: July 26, 2012	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	now 15 /0/ 1/ 1/
Claudia C. Bohorquez, Esq.		NOW THE OF PARTY OF PERSON SHOWS AND PARTY.
(TYPE OR PRINT NAME)	NOTICE	SIGNATURE OF PARTY OR ATTORNEY FOR PARTY)
Plaintiff must file this cover sheet with the		ng (except small claims cases or cases filed
		les of Court, rule 3.220.) Failure to file may result
in sanctions.		, ,
• File this cover sheet in addition to any co	ver sheet required by local court rule.	
ather portion to the notion or proceeding		u must serve a copy of this cover sheet on all
other parties to the action or proceeding.	le 3 740 or a compley case, this cover sh	eet will be used for statistical purposes only.
1) - Autoba éria is a concernant case autou. La	in united of a complex case, this cover sh	Page 1 of Z

INSTRUCTIONS ON HOW TO COMPLETE THE COVER SHEET

To Plaintiffs and Others Filing First Papers. If you are filing a first paper (for example, a complaint) in a civil case, you must complete and file, along with your first paper, the Civil Case Cover Sheet contained on page 1. This information will be used to compile statistics about the types and numbers of cases filed. You must complete items 1 through 6 on the sheet. In item 1, you must check one box for the case type that best describes the case. If the case fits both a general and a more specific type of case listed in item 1, check the more specific one. If the case has multiple causes of action, check the box that best indicates the primary cause of action. To assist you in completing the sheet, examples of the cases that belong under each case type in Item 1 are provided below. A cover sheet must be filed only with your initial paper. Failure to file a cover sheet with the first paper filed in a civil case may subject a party, its counsel, or both to sanctions under rules 2.30 and 3.220 of the California Rules of Court.

To Parties in Rule 3.740 Collections Cases. A "collections case" under rule 3.740 is defined as an action for recovery of money owed in a sum stated to be certain that is not more than \$25,000, exclusive of interest and attorney's fees, arising from a transaction in which property, services, or money was acquired on credit. A collections case does not include an action seeking the following: (1) tort damages, (2) punitive damages, (3) recovery of real property, (4) recovery of personal property, or (5) a prejudgment writ of attachment. The identification of a case as a rule 3.740 collections case on this form means that it will be exempt from the general time-for-service requirements and case management rules, unless a defendant files a responsive pleading. A rule 3.740 collections case will be subject to the requirements for service and obtaining a judgment in rule 3.740.

To Parties In Complex Cases. In complex cases only, parties must also use the Civil Case Cover Sheet to designate whether the case is complex. If a plaintiff believes the case is complex under rule 3.400 of the California Rules of Court, this must be indicated by completing the appropriate boxes in items 1 and 2. If a plaintiff designates a case as complex, the cover sheet must be served with the complaint on all parties to the action. A defendant may file and serve no later than the time of its first appearance a joinder in the plaintiff's designation, a counter-designation that the case is not complex, or, if the plaintiff has made no designation, a designation that

```
the case is complex.
Auto Tort
    Auto (22)-Personal Injury/Property
         Damage/Wrongful Death
    Uninsured Motorist (46) (If the
         case involves an uninsured
         motorist claim subject to
         arbitration, check this item
         instead of Auto)
Other PI/PD/WD (Personal Injury/
Property Damage/Wrongful Death)
     Asbestos (04)
         Asbestos Property Damage
         Asbestos Personal Injury/
              Wrongful Death
     Product Liability (not asbestos or
     toxic/environmental) (24)
Medical Matpractice (45)
         Medical Malpractice-
              Physicians & Surgeons
         Other Professional Health Care
              Malocactice
     Other PI/PD/WD (23)
         Premises Liability (e.g., slip
              and fall)
         Intentional Bodily Injury/PD/WD
              (e.g., assault, vandalism)
         Intentional Infliction of
              Emotional Distress
         Negligent Infliction of
              Emotional Distress
         Other PI/PD/MD
Non-PI/PD/WD (Other) Tort
     Business Torl/Unfair Business
        Practice (07)
     Civil Rights (e.g., discrimination, false arrest) (not civil
```

CASE TYPES AND EXAMPLES Contract Breach of Contract/Warranty (06) Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) Contract/Warranty Breach-Seller Plainliff (not fraud or negligence) Negligent Breach of Contract/ Warranty Other Breach of Contract/Warranty Collections (e.g., money owed, open book accounts) (09) Collection Case Seller Plaintiff Other Promiseory Note/Collections Case Insurance Coverage (not provisionally complex) (18) Auto Subrogation Other Coverage Other Contract (37) Contractual Fraud Other Contract Dispute Real Property Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14) Wrongful Eviction (33) Other Real Property (e.g., quist title) (26) Writ of Possession of Real Property Mortgage Foreclosure Quiet Title Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, or foreclosure) Unlawful Detainer Commercial (31) Residential (32) Drugs (38) (if the case involves illegal drugs, check this item; otherwise, report as Commercial or Residential) Judicial Review Asset Forfelture (05) Petition Re: Arbitration Award (11) Writ of Mandate (02) Writ-Administrative Mandamus Writ-Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter Writ-Other Limited Court Case Review Other Judicial Review (39)

```
Provisionally Complex Civil Litigation (Cal.
Rules of Court Rules 3.400-3.403)
      Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)
     Construction Defect (10)
     Claims Involving Mass Tort (40)
Securities Litigation (28)
      Environmental/Toxic Tort (30)
      Insurance Coverage Claims
          (arising from provisionally complex
          case type listed above) (41)
 Enforcement of Judgment
     Enforcement of Judgment (20)
Abstract of Judgment (Out of
              County)
          Confession of Judgment (non-
              domestic relations)
          Sister State Judgment
          Administrative Agency Award
             (not unpaid taxes)
           Petition/Certification of Entry of
             Judgment on Unpaid Taxes
          Other Enforcement of Judgment
Case
  Miscellaneous Civil Complaint
      RICO (27)
      Other Comptaint (not specified-
          above) (42)
          Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (non-
               harassment)
          Mechanics Lien
          Other Commercial Complaint
               Case (non-tort/non-complex)
          Other Civil Complaint
              (non-tort/non-complex)
 Miscellaneous Civil Petition
      Partnership and Corporate
          Governance (21)
      Other Petition (not specified
          above) (43)
          Civil Harassment
          Workplace Violence
          Elder/Dependent Adult
              Abuse
          Election Contest
          Petition for Name Change
          Petition for Relief From Late
               Claim
           Other Civil Petition
```

(1)

Employment

narassment) (08)

Intellectual Property (19)

Legal Malpractice

Wrongful Termination (36)

Other Employment (15)

Professional Negligence (25)

(not medical or legal)
Other Non-PI/PD/WD Tort (35)

Other Professional Malpractice

(13)

Fraud (16)

Defamation (e.g., stander, libel)

Review of Health Officer Order Notice of Appeal-Labor

SHORT TITLE: SEVILLA et al. v. JON DAVLER, INC. et al.

CASE NUMBER

BC489259

CIVIL CASE COVER SHEET ADDENDUM AND STATEMENT OF LOCATION (CERTIFICATE OF GROUNDS FOR ASSIGNMENT TO COURTHOUSE LOCATION)

This form is required pursuant to Local Rule 2.0 in all new civ	Il case filings in the Los Angeles Superior Court.
Item I. Check the types of hearing and fill in the estimated length of JURY TRIAL? YES CLASS ACTION? YES LIMITED CASE? Litem II. Indicate the correct district and courthouse location (4 steps	YES TIME ESTIMATED FOR TRIAL 3 HOURS/ 1 DAYS
Step 1: After first completing the Civil Case Cover Sheet form, fit case in the left margin below, and, to the right in Column A, the C Step 2: Check one Superior Court type of action in Column B b	ivil Case Cover Sheet case type you selected.
Step 3: In Column C, circle the reason for the court location checked. For any exception to the court location, see Local Rule	sice that applies to the type of action you have
Applicable Reasons for Choosing Courthous 1. Class actions must be filed in the Stanley Mosk Courthouse, central district. 2. May be filed in central (other county, or no bodily injury/property damage). 3. Location where cause of action arose. 4. Location where bodily injury, death or damage occurred. 5. Location where performance required or defendant resides.	6. Location of property or permanently garaged vehicle. 7. Location where peritioner resides. 8. Location wherein defendant/respondent functions wholly. 9. Location where one or more of the parties reside. 10. Location of Labor Commissioner Office

Step 4: Fill in the information requested on page 4 in Item III; complete Item IV. Sign the declaration.

		B to the control of t	
Auto Tort	Auto (22)	☐ A7100 Motor Vehicle - Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1., 2., 4.
	Úninsured Motorlst (46)	A7110 Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death — Uninsured Motorist	1., 2., 4.
Other Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort	Asbestos (04)	A6070 Asbestos Property Damage A7221 Asbestos - Personal Injury/Wrongful Death	2. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	Product Liability (24)	☐ A7260 Product Liability (not asbestos or toxic/environmental)	1., 2., 3., 4., 8.
	Medical Malpractice (45)	A7210 Medical Malpractice - Physicians & Surgeons A7240 Other Professional Health Care Malpractice	1., 4. 1., 4.
	Other Personal Injury Property Damage Wrongful Death (23)	□ A7250 Premises Liability (e.g., slip and fall) □ A7230 Intentional Bodily Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death (e.g., assault, vandalism, etc.) □ A7270 Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress ■ A7220 Other Personal Injury/Property Damage/Wrongful Death	1, 4, 1, 4, 1, 3. 1, 4,

L'ACIV 109 (Rev. 03/11)

SEVILLA et al. v. JON DAVLER, INC. et al.

CASE NUMBER

Non-Personal Injury/ Property Damage/ Wrongful Death Tort

Employment

Real Property

Unlawful Detainer

Business Tort (07)	☐ A8029 Other Commercial/Business Tort (not fraud/breach of contract)	1., 3.
Civil Rights (08)	☑ A6005 Civil Rights/Discrimination	1., 2., 3.
Defamation (13)	□ A8010 Defamation (slander/libel)	1., 2., 3.
Fraud (16)	☐ A6013 Fraud (no contract)	1., 2., 3.
Professional Negligence (25)	A8017 Legal Maipractice A6050 Other Professional Maipractice (not medical or legal)	1., 2., 3. 1., 2., 3.
Other (35)	A6025 Other Non-Personal Injury/Property Damage tort	2.,3.
Wrongful Termination (36)	□ A6037 Wrongful Termination	1., 2., 3.
Other Employment (15)	A6024 Other Emptoyment Complaint Case A6109 Labor Commissioner Appeals	1., 2., 3. 10.
Breach of Contract/ Warranty (06) (not insurance)	 □ A6004 Breach of Rental/Lease Contract (not unlawful detainer or wrongful eviction) □ A6008 Contract/Warranty Breach - Seller Plaintiff (no fraud/negligence) □ A6019 Negligent Breach of Contract/Warranty (no fraud) □ A6028 Other Breach of Contract/Warranty (not fraud or negligence) 	2., 5. 2., 5. 1., 2., 6. 1., 2., 5.
Collections (09)	Collections (09) A6002 Collections Case-Seller Plaintiff A8012 Other Promissory Note/Collections Case	
Insurance Coverage (18)	Insurance Coverage (18) A6015 Insurance Coverage (not complex)	
Other Contract (37)	Other Contract (37) A6009 Contractual Fraud A6001 Tortious Interference A6027 Other Contract Disputs(not breach/insurance/fraud/negligence)	
Eminent Domain/Inverse Condemnation (14)	☐ A7300 Eminent Domain/Condemnation Number of percels	2
Wrongful Eviction (33)	D A8023 Wrongful Eviction Case	2., 6:
Other Real Property (26)	Other Real Property (26) A6032 Quiet Title A6080 Other Real Property (not eminent domain, landlord/tenant, foreclosure)	
Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (31)	☐ A6021 Unlawful Detainer-Commercial (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawfül Detainer-Residential (32)	☐ A6020 Unlawful Detainer-Residential (not drugs or wrongful eviction)	2., 6.
Unlawful Detainer- Post-Foreclosure (34)	☐ A8020FUnlawful Detainer-Post-Foreclosure	2., 6.
Untawful Detainer-Drugs (38)	□ A6022 Unlawful Detainer-Drugs	2., 6.

SHORT	TITLE:
-------	--------

SEVILLA et al. v. JON DAVLER, INC. et al.

CASE NUMBER

	Surguit Bergyal Sheet (*) Sheet Orthon			
	Asset Forfeiture (05)	□ A6	108 Asset Forfeiture Case	2., 6.
Judicial Review	Petition re Arbitration (11)	□ A6	115 Petition to Compel/Confirm/Vacate Arbitration	2., 5.
	Writ of Mandate (02)	□ A6	i151 Writ - Administrative Mandamus i152 Writ - Mandamus on Limited Court Case Matter	2., 8.
	Other Judicial Review (39)		1153 Writ - Other Limited Court Case Review	2. 2., 8.
_	Antitrust/Trade Regulation (03)	D A6	003 Antitrust/Trade Regulation	1., 2., 8.
igation	Construction Defect (10)		i007 Construction Defect	1., 2., 3.
nptex Lit	Claims involving Mass Tort (40)	[] A6	1008 Claims Involving Mass Tort	1., 2., 8.
ly Con	Securities Litigation (28)	□ A6	035 Securities Litigation Case	1., 2., 8.
Provisionally Complex Litigation	Toxic Tort Environmental (30)	□ A6	036 Toxic Tort/Environmental	1., 2., 3., 8.
	Insurance Coverage Claims from Complex Case (41)	□ A6	3014 Insurance Coverage/Subrogation (complex case only)	1., 2., 5., 8.
Enforcement of Judgment	Enforcement	□ A6	S141 Sister State Judgment S160 Abstract of Judgment S107 Confession of Judgment (non-domestic relations)	2., 9. 2., 6. 2., 9.
	of Judgment (20)	□ A6	Administrative Agency Award (not unpaid texes) 114 Petition/Certificate for Entry of Judgment on Unpaid Tax Other Enforcement of Judgment Case	2., 8. 2., 8. 2., 8., 9.
, in	RICO (27)	□ A€	3033 Racketeering (RICO) Case	1., 2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Complaints	Other Complaints (Not Specified Above) (42)	□ A6	Declaratory Relief Only Injunctive Relief Only (not domestic/harassment) Other Commercial Complaint Case (non-tort/non-complex) Other Civil Complaint (non-tort/non-complex)	1., 2., 8. 2., 8. 1., 2., 8. 1., 2., 8.
	Partnership Corporation Governance (21)	□ A6	8113 Partnership and Corporate Governance Case	2., 8.
Miscellaneous Civil Pettions	Other Petitions (Not Specified Above) (43)	□ A6	Civil Harassment Workplace Harassment Elder/Dependent Adult Abuse Case Election Contest Petition for Change of Name	2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2., 3., 9. 2. 2., 7.
			3170 Petition for Relief from Late Claim Law 3100 Other Civil Petition	2., 3., 4., 8. 2., 9.

SEVILLA et al.	v. JÓN DAVLER, IN	C. et al.	CAGE NOMBER
line III. Statement of Le			
			cident, party's residence or place of business, performance, or other the proper reason for filing in the court location you selected.
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , 			ADDRESS:
REASON: Check the appropriate boxes for the numbers shown under Column C for the type of action that you have selected for this case.			13324 E. Valley Bivd.
∅1, Ѿ2, ∅3, ∭4,	□5. □6. □7. □8. □]9. □10. ·	
CITY:	STATE:	ZIP CODE:	
La Puente	GA	91746	•
and correct and that the	above-entitled matter i	is properly file	erjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true ed for assignment to the Stanley Mosk courthouse in the rnia, County of Los Angeles [Code Civ. Proc., § 392 et seq., and Local
Rule 2.0, subds. (b), (c) ar	nd (d)].		Ω
			Clark to ha
Dated: July 26, 2012			Course to T
			(SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY/FILING PARTY)
			. ,

PLEASE HAVE THE FOLLOWING ITEMS COMPLETED AND READY TO BE FILED IN ORDER TO PROPERLY COMMENCE YOUR NEW COURT CASE:

- 1. Original Complaint or Petition.
 - 2. If filing a Complaint, a completed Summons form for issuance by the Clerk.
 - 3. Civil Case Cover Sheet, Judicial Council form CM-010.
 - Civil Case Cover Sheet Addendum and Statement of Location form, LACIV 109, LASC Approved 03-04 (Rev. 03/11).
- 5. Payment in full of the filing fee, unless fees have been waived.
 - A signed order appointing the Guardian ad Litem, Judicial Council form CIV-010, if the plaintiff or petitioner is a minor under 18 years of age will be required by Court in order to issue a summons.
- .. 7. Additional copies of documents to be conformed by the Clerk. Copies of the cover sheet and this addendum must be served along with the summons and complaint, or other initiating pleading in the case.